

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,367	12/22/2003	Mahendra R. Patel	4-33515P1	7967
72554 SANDOZ INC	7590 02/06/200	EXAMINER		
506 CARNEFIE CENTER			KENNEDY, SHARON E	
PRINCETON, NJ 08540			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/743,367	PATEL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sharon E. Kennedy	1615		

·	Sharon E. Kennedy	1615	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 January 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>22 January 2008</u>. A brithe date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	11.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con	nsideration and/or search (see NO		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		de eta e e e eta e liña de e	4h - iaaaa f aa
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	correction number of finally rei	acted claims	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· -	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		maliant Amandmant	(DTOL 324)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	·	impliant Amendment	(F10L-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		Alice and a file of the second of the second	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <i>1-20</i> .			
Claim(s) rejected. <u>1-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa lee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13.		11. 0.1	2
		Sharon E. Kenned Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1615	rennedy

Application No. 10/743,367

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant amends the claim to require that the polymer be water soluble and hydrophillic, which requires a new search and consideration. Applicant argues that the terms hydrophillic and water soluble are reduncant terms, however, a well-known consumer product, diapers, comprises cellulosic materials which are water insoluble and hydrophillic. There is no redundancy in these terms and they are critically required, particularly in the field on pharmacology.